#### THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# **December 14, 2011**

#### **Staff Report**

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by: Crystal Alvarez

Applicant: California Municipal Finance Authority

**Allocation Amount Requested:** 

**Tax-exempt:** \$4,500,000

**Project Information:** 

**Name:** Drasnin Manor Apartments

**Project Address**: 2530 International Boulevard **Project City, County, Zip Code**: Oakland, Alameda, 94601

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Drasnin Manor, L.P. (Drasnin Manor, LLC)

**Principals**: Jeremy Liu

Property Management Company: East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation

Private Placement Purchaser: Citibank, N.A.

TEFRA Hearing Date: November 14, 2011

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

State Ceiling Pool: General

**Total Number of Units:** 25, plus 1 manager unit

Type: Acquisition and Rehabilitation

**Type of Units:** Family

The subject property is a 0.69 acre site (30,090 square feet) existing multifamily residential complex with over 2,900 square feet of ground floor retail. The building includes twenty-six (26) residential units with a mix of one, two and three bedroom units. The rehabilitation is designed to address the deferred maintenance that has accumulated over the years.

## **Description of Public Benefits:**

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

100% (25 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1, 2 & 3 bedrooms

The project sponsor has committed to provided educational classes and a bona fide service coordinator/social worker to residents for a period of 10 years.

# **Term of Restrictions:**

**Income and Rent Restrictions:** 55 years

# **Details of Project Financing:**

**Estimated Total Development Cost:** \$ 11,508,425

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** \$ 129,679 (\$3,241,970 /25 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 460,337 (\$11,508,425 /25 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 180,000 (\$4,500,000 /25 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 180,000 (\$4,500,000 /25 restricted units)

The Project has total project costs that appear high for the geographic area in which it is located. According to the Project sponsor, the high cost is due to the acquisition cost for a relatively small project in a high cost regional area.

Sources of Funds:	Construction		 Permanent	
Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds	\$	4,500,000	\$ 2,116,800	
Developer Equity	\$	50,000	\$ 50,000	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$	0	\$ 147,785	
LIH Tax Credit Equity	\$	450,000	\$ 2,502,850	
Direct & Indirect Public Funds	\$	5,790,990	\$ 6,690,990	
Total Sources	\$	10,790,990	\$ 11,508,425	
Uses of Funds:				
Acquisition	\$	4,532,448		
On & Off Site Costs	\$	190,545		
Hard Construction Costs	\$	3,051,425		
Architect & Engineering Fees	\$	320,089		
Contractor Overhead & Profit	\$	574,037		
Developer Fee	\$	912,393		
Cost of Issuance	\$	165,188		
Capitalized Interest	\$	171,255		
Other Soft Costs (Marketing, etc.)	\$	1,591,045		
Total Uses	\$	11,508,425		

Agenda Item No. 6.19 Application No. 11-171

# Description of Financial Structure and Bond Issuance:

The bonds will be privately placed with Citibank, N.A. The construction loan will be for a term of 24 months with a variable rate equal to SIFMA plus a spread of 3.00%. The loan will then convert to permanent with a fixed rate equal to a 2-year SIFMA swap rate plus a spread of 3.50% for a term of 15 years and amortized over 30 years.

## Analyst Comments:

Not Applicable

## Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 

83 out of 118

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$4,300,000 in tax exempt bond allocation.

# ATTACHMENT A

# **EVALUATION SCORING:**

Point Criteria	Maximum Points Allowed for Non- Mixed Income Projects	Maximum Points Allowed for Mixed Income Projects	Points Scored
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:	35	15	35
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions  [Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE VI Project]	[10]	[10]	0
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Large Family Units	5	5	5
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
New Construction	10	10	0
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
Negative Points	-10	-10	0
Total Points	118	98	83

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.